BEFORE

THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF

SOUTH CAROLINA

DOCKET NO. 91-002-E - ORDER NO. 91-970 NOVEMBER 1, 1991

IN RE: South Carolina Electric and Gas) ORDER APPROVING Company - Semi-Annual Review of Base Rates for Fuel Costs) FUEL COSTS

On October 23, 1991, the Public Service Commission of South Carolina (the Commission) held a public hearing on the issue of the recovery of the costs of fuel used in electric generation by South Carolina Electric & Gas Company (the Company) to provide service to its retail electric customers. The procedure followed by the Commission is set forth in S.C. Code Ann., §58-27-865 (Cum. Supp. 1990).

At the October 23, 1991, hearing, Robert T. Bockman, Esquire, represented the Company; Nancy J. Vaughn, Esquire, represented the Intervenor, the Consumer Advocate of South Carolina; and F. David Butler, Esquire, Staff Counsel, represented the Commission Staff. The record before the Commission consists of the testimony of four witnesses on behalf of the Company, two witnesses on behalf of the Commission Staff, and five exhibits.

KWH, would be required to minimize the variance between the average projected fuel cost and actual fuel costs at the conclusion of the six months period ending April 30, 1992.

- 9. The Commission's Staff witness Randy H. Erskine,
 Utilities Engineer Associate II, demonstrated that the projected
 fuel cost for the six-month period ending April 1992, and the
 cumulative over-recovery of \$1,030,874 through October 1991 would
 be recovered by the establishment of a fuel component of 1.525
 cents per KWH in the base rates, which will produce an estimated
 under-recovery in the amount of \$1,403,136. This recommendation is
 in keeping with the spirit of the statute to allow utilities to
 recover prudently incurred fuel cost "in a manner that tends to
 insure public confidence and minimize abrupt changes in charges to
 consumers." This recommendation will also tend to limit
 fluctuations in the fuel factor over the long term.
- 10. Based on the testimony of Staff witness Erskine, the Commission finds that the nuclear outages of the Company during the period in question were necessary and concludes that the outages did not cause SCE&G's customers to pay unreasonable fuel costs.
- advanced by the Company and the Commission Staff in regard to an adjustment to the fuel component in the Company's base rates.

 Based upon our full review of the record in this proceeding, the Commission is of the opinion, and so finds, that the recommendation as proposed by the Staff is fair and reasonable and should herein be approved, effective commencing with the Company's November 1991

billings. Based upon the projected fuel costs and energy sales through the next six months, the operation of a fuel component of 1.525 cents per KWH will produce a cumulative under-recovery of fuel cost in an amount of \$1,403,136 for the period ending April 30, 1992. The Commission considers that the adoption of this fuel cost level herein will serve to encourage the Company to continue its efforts in the exercise of reasonable prudence and efficiency in its fuel purchasing practices.

12. Counsel for the Consumer Advocate stated at the hearing that the Consumer Advocate wishes to preserve his right to continue to contest the following two issues in Order Nos. 90-177, 90-335, 90-503, 90-655, and 91-304 in Docket Nos. 89-6-E, 90-7-E, and 91-001-E and this Docket, 91-002-E, pending appeal in $\underbrace{\text{Hamm v. South}}$ Carolina Public Service Commission and SCE&G, 90-CP-40-2102 and Hamm v. South Carolina Public Service Commission and SCE&G, 90-CP-40-3681: (a) the validity of the Commission's orders requiring the Consumer Advocate to sign a confidentiality agreement before being allowed to review coal and transportation contracts and (b) the right to seek refunds to SCE&G's affected customers for any fuel costs, with interest, which the Commission may determine to have been unreasonable if the Consumer Advocate prevails in his appeal. Refunds would be issued if the Commission holds a new hearing on the fuel costs, and the Commission determines as a result of the Consumer Advocate's review of the contracts that SCE&G's fuel costs were unreasonable. The Company so stipulated.

The Commission notes that the issue of the confidentiality and

disclosure of coal supply and railroad transportation agreements shall be governed by Commission Order No. 91-272, issued in Docket No. 90-425-E on April 18, 1991.

The Commission approves the stipulation of the parties that to the extent any fuel costs sought to be recovered in the instant proceeding are affected by the decision in the pending appeals, the Consumer Advocate's determination in this Docket not to raise issues concerning the confidentiality of coal and transportation contracts shall not constitute a waiver of the Consumer Advocate's right to challenge such fuel costs and seek a refund to SCE&G's affected customers with interest should the Consumer Advocate prevail in the pending appeals. Refunds would be issued if the Commission holds a new hearing on the matter and finds, as a result of the Consumer Advocate's review of the contracts at issue, fuel costs to be unreasonable and therefore disallows the recovery of such unreasonable fuel costs.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

- 1. That a fuel component of 1.525 cents per KWH be, and hereby is, approved for South Carolina Electric & Gas Company, effective on bills rendered on and after November 1, 1991.
- 2. That South Carolina Electric & Gas Company file with the Commission for approval, within fifteen (15) days of the date of this Order, rate schedules designed to incorporate our findings herein and an Adjustment for Fuel Costs, as demonstrated in Appendix A, attached hereto and incorporated by reference.
 - 3. That the Company comply with the Notice requirements set

forth in S.C. Code Ann., §58-27-865 (A) (Cum. Supp. 1990).

- 4. That the Company continue to file the monthly reports as previously required.
- 5. That the Company account monthly to the Commission for the differences between the recovery of fuel costs through base rates and the actual fuel costs experienced by booking the difference to unbilled revenues with a corresponding deferred debit or credit.
- 6. That the Company submit monthly reports to the Commission of fuel cost and scheduled and unscheduled outages of generating units with a capacity of 100 MW or greater.
- 7. That the Commission approves the stipulation of the parties as to issues on appeal as set out hereinabove.
- 8. That this Order shall remain in full force and effect until further Order of the Commission.

BY ORDER OF THE COMMISSION:

VICE Chairman

ATTEST:

Executive Directo

(SEAL)

Appendix A Docket No. 91-002-E Order No. 91-970 NOVEMBER 1, 1991

SOUTH CAROLINA ELECTRIC AND GAS COMPANY Adjustment for Fuel Costs

APPLICABILITY

This adjustment is applicable to and is a part of the Utility's South Carolina retail electric rate schedules.

The Public Service Commission has determined that the costs of fuel in an amount to the nearest one-thousandth of a cent, as determined by the following formula, will be included in the base rates to the extent determined reasonable and proper by the Commission for the succeeding six months or shorter period:

Where:

F= Fuel cost per Kilowatt-hour included in base rate, rounded to the nearest one-thousandth of a cent.

E= Total projected system fuel costs:

(A) Fuel consumed in the Utility's own plants and the Utility's share of fuel consumed in jointly owned or leased plants. The cost of fossil fuel shall include no items other than those listed in Account 151 of the Commission's Uniform System of Accounts for Public Utilities and Licensees. The cost of nuclear fuel shall be that as shown in Account 518 excluding rental payments on leased nuclear fuel and except that, if Account 518 also contains any expense for fossil fuel which has already been included in the cost of fossil fuel, it shall be deducted from this account.

PLUS

(B) Purchased power fuel costs such as those incurred in unit power and Limited Term power purchases where the fuel costs associated with energy purchased are identifiable and are identified in the billing statement.

PLUS

(C) Interchange power fuel costs such as Short Term, Economy, and other where the energy is purchased on economic dispatch basis.

Energy receipts that do not involve money payments such as Diversity energy and payback of storage energy are not defined as purchased or interchange power relative to this fuel calculation.

MINUS

(D) The cost of fuel recovered through intersystem sales including the fuel costs related to economy energy sales and other energy sold on an economic dispatch basis.

Energy deliveries that do not involve billing transactions such as Diversity energy and payback of storage are not defined as sales relative to this fuel calculation.

- S = Projected system kilowatt-hour sales excluding any intersystem sales.
- G = Cumulative difference between jurisdictional fuel revenues billed and fuel expenses at the end of the month preceding the projected period utilized in E and S.
- S_1 = Projected jurisdictional kilowatt-hour sales for the period covered by the fuel costs included in E.

The appropriate revenue related tax factor is to be included in these calculations.

The fuel costs (F) as determined by Public Service Commission of South Carolina's Order No. 91-970 for the period November 1991 through April 1992 is 1.525 cents per kilowatt-hour.